Department of Computer Science
National Tsing Hua University

CS 5263: Wireless Multimedia Networking Technologies and Applications

Scalable Video Coding

Instructor: Cheng-Hsin Hsu

Acknowledgement: The instructor thanks Prof. Mohamed Hefeeda at Simon Fraser University for sharing his course materials

Motivation

- **Receivers of video stream are heterogeneous**
  - Connection bandwidth
  - Display resolution
  - Processing power
  - Battery level
- **Dynamic conditions**
  - Even for the same receiver
  - Internet bandwidth is changing
  - Wireless conditions and mobility
- ➔ **Need stream adaptation methods**

Stream Adaptation

- **Transcoding**
  - Transform the encoded stream to different format/ bitrate/resolution
  - Simple approach: decode then encode again with different parameters
  - There are more sophisticated transcoding schemes, e.g., work in the compressed domain
  - **Disadvantages?**
    - Computational cost

Stream Adaptation

- **Simulcasting (or Stream Switching)**
  - Encode a video stream multiple times
  - E.g., high, medium, low quality
  - Or high and low resolutions
  - Switch among streams during the session
  - Advantages: simple
  - Disadvantages?
    - Managing multiple versions of same video
    - Larger storage requirements
    - Switching streams is not easy: need to synchronize at I-frames

Stream Adaptation

- **Muti-Descritpion Coding (MDC)**
  - **Encode each stream into multiple descriptions**
  - **Each description improves the received quality**
  - **Any subset of descriptions can be decoded**
  - **Advantages:**
    - **Very flexible**
  - **Disadvantages?**
    - **Coding inefficiency: the aggregate bit rate of MDCs is much higher than single-layer (nonscalable stream) at the same quality**

Stream Adaptation

- **Scalable Video Coding (SVC)**
  - **Goal: Each stream is encoded once, but can be decoded/adapted in many different ways**
  - **Idea: each stream has multiple layers, and subsets of layers can be decoded (some restrictions on layers)**
- **Started early on**
  - **H.262/MPEG-2, H.263, MPEG-4 Visual, …**
  - **But was not widely deployed:**
    - **Coding/decoding complexity and**
    - **Coding inefficiency, e.g., 2-5 dB gap is common for MPEG-4 FGS (fine-grained scalability) streams when compared against MPEG-4 streams**

H.264/SVC

- **Most recent: H.264/SVC**
  - **Tries to avoid previous problems**
  - **Gaining momentum (some companies already used it)**
- **Our discussion is mostly focused on H.264/SVC**

- **Read: Schwarz et al., Overview of the Scalable Video Coding Extension of the H.264/AVC Standard, IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 17(9), 2007**

H.264/SVC

- **SVC tries to achieve (scalability wish list)**
  - Similar coding efficiency to single-layer coding (10% bit rate increase at most)
  - Support for temporal, spatial, quality scalability
  - Backward compatibility of the base layer
  - Support for simple bitstream adaptations after encoding
  - Little increase in decoding complexity (arguably failed)
- **SVC has many potential applications**
  - Support heterogeneous receivers (wired and wireless)
  - Unequal error protection
  - Archiving in surveillance applications (store base quality)
  - ....

H.264/SVC: 3-D Scalability

- **Temporal scalability**
  - Frame rate
- **Spatial scalability**
  - Resolution (picture size)
- **Quality scalability**
  - (Fidelity or SNR)
- **SVC ➔ Very flexible adaptation**



Temporal Scalability

- **Divide sequence into temporal layers**
  - **Restrict motion-compensated prediction**
- **➔ Hierarchical prediction structure**
  - **Already provided by H.264/AVC**

Temporal Scalability: Example



- **4 temporal layers: T0, …, T3**
  - **T0 = 1 frames per GoP**
  - **T1 = T0 + 1 = 2 frames per GoP**
  - **T2 = T1 + 2 = 4 frames per GoP**
  - **Numbers below frames indicate decoding order**
  - **Arrows show prediction dependency**
  - **Dyadic (power of 2) temporal enhancement layers**

Temporal Scalability: Example 2



- **Non-dyadic structure is also possible**
  - **T0 = 1/9 of full frame rate**
  - **T1 = 1/3 of full frame rate**
  - **T2 = full frame rate**

Temporal Scalability

- **SVC also supports**
  - **Changing the hierarchical prediction structure over time**
  - **Having the reference frame in the same temporal layer as the target frame**
  - **Having multiple reference frames (as in H.264/AVC)**

Temporal Scalability: Delay

- **Hierarchical structure could increase decoding delay**
  - Some frames cannot be decoded until receiving future frames
  - Not desired in interactive multimedia applications (e.g., video conf)
- **SVC can limit predictions to preceding frames only**
  - Cost?
  - Decreased coding efficiency



Temporal Scalability: Coding Efficiency

- Comparing dyadic hierarchical B-pictures (no decoding delay constraint)
  vs IPPP, IBPBP, and IBBP

- Hierarchical B-pictures achieve PSNR gain >= 1 dB compared to the widely used IBBP coding structure

- Gain is higher for large GoP sizes



Temporal Scalability: Coding Efficiency



- Using high-delay test set (non-conversational sequences), CIF 30Hz, 34dB, compared to IPPP
- ➔ significant saving in bitrate

Temporal Scalability: Coding Efficiency



- **Using low-delay (delay = 0) test set (conversational sequences), 365x288, 25-30Hz, 38 dB vs IPPP**
  - **Still some gain but not as high as before**

Temporal Scalability: Summary

- **Achieved using hierarchical temporal structures**
- **Typically no negative impact on coding efficiency**
  - **Significant improvement, especially when higher delays are tolerable**
  - **Minor losses in coding efficiency are possible when low delay is required**

Spatial Scalability

- **Basic Idea:**
  - Multiple layers with different resolutions
  - Each layer is treated as if it were single-layer coding:
    - i.e., uses motion-compensated prediction and intra-prediction
  - All layers share the same encoding order ← for low complexity
  - Inter-layer prediction is also possible
- **Notice temporal and spatial scalabilities can exist**
  - Inter-layer prediction is only performed at access units



Spatial Scalability: Inter-Layer Prediction

- **Inter-layer prediction**
  - Up-sample lower layer signal (reconstructed samples) and perform prediction ← early standards only support this
  - Perform temporal prediction inside higher-resolution layer (in the enhancement layer)
  - You can either use the first prediction and/or the second
    - Averaging in case of using both
- **Note: same-layer temporal prediction can provide better compression in case of low motion videos with detailed resolution**

Spatial Scalability: Improving Efficiency

- **To improve the coding efficiency of inter-layer prediction, two coding tools were added**
  - **Prediction of macroblock modes and associated motion parameters**
  - **Prediction of the residual signal**
- **A new macroblock type is defined**
  - **Transmits a residue signal**
  - **No intra-prediction mode nor motion parameters**
  - **If the corresponding macroblock in the reference layer is**
    - **Intra-coded→intra prediction: upsample reference layer**
    - **Inter-coded→motion prediction: motion vectors are scaled up**

Spatial Scalability: Coding Efficiency

- **Single-loop vs. multiple-loop decoding**
  - Reconstructing inter-coded reference layer or not…
- **Coding tools: Intra-layer prediction (I), motion prediction (M), residual prediction (R)**
- **Take-Away**
  - I, M, R are beneficial
  - But multiple-loop leads to minor enhancements, while incurring high decoding overhead



Quality Scalability

- **Basic Idea:**
  - Multiple layers created with same resolution but different fidelity (picture quality)
  - Different qualities can be achieved by controlling quantization step
- **H.264/SVC quality scalability models**
  - Coarse-Grained Scalability (CGS)
    - Few layers
  - Medium-Grained Scalability (MGS)
    - More flexible

Quality Scalability: CGS

- Similar to spatial scalability, but with same resolution
- Use different quality parameters in different layers
- Supports a few (typically 3 to 6) different bit-rates/ layers
- Too many layers → high overhead → low coding efficiency

Quality Scalability: MGS

- **Medium-Grained Scalability (MGS) improves:**
  - **Flexibility of the stream**
    - **Packet-level quality scalability**
  - **Error robustness**
    - **Controlling drift propagation**
  - **Coding efficiency**
    - **Use of more information for temporal prediction**

Quality Scalability: MGS Prediction Structure

MPEG-4 FGS



MPEG-2 Quality Scalable





MPEG-2 Spatial Scalable



H.264/SVC MGS

Quality Scalability: MGS Key Frames

- Video frames of coarsest temporal layer are called key frames
- Key frames only use base-layer frames for predictions ← robustness
- Non-key frames can only highest possible layers for prediction ← coding efficiency

Quality Scalability: MGS

- **MGS: flexibility of the stream**
  - **Enhancement layer transform coefficients can be distributed among several slices**

1	1	2	3
2	2	3	4
2	3	3	4
3	3	4	4

  - **Packet-level quality scalability**

Quality Scalability: MGS vs. CGS



SVC Encoder Structure



- **Simple example for 2 spatial layers**

Summary

- **Different models of scalability**
  - **Simulcast, MDC, SVC**
- **SVC**
  - **Temporal**
  - **Spatial**
  - **Quality**
- **H.264/SVC tried to improve coding efficiency while reducing complexity**
  - **It achieves the former goal: gap between H.264/SVC and MPEG-4 is reported to be as low as 10%**
  - **It arguably fails the later goal: very few SVC chip designs are out there, mostly due to memory limitation**

Compiling JSVM (and other ref. sw)

- **Create working folder:** mkdir JSVM; cd JSVM
- **CVS login:** cvs -d :pserver:jvtuser:jvt.Amd.2@garcon.ient.rwth-aachen.de:/cvs/jvt login
- **CVS checkout:** cvs -d :pserver:jvtuser@garcon.ient.rwth-aachen.de:/cvs/jvt checkout jsvm
- **Get into the build directory (using Linux as example)**: cd jsvm/JSVM/H264Extension/build/linux
- **Compile**: make

- The resulting binary files are under JSVM/jsvm/bin

JSVM Utilities

- ***H264AVCEncoderLibTestStatic*:** reference encoder
- ***H264AVCDecoderLibTestStatic* :** reference decoder
- ***BitStreamExtractorStatic* :** extract a substream from the global scalable stream
- ***PSNRStatic*, *YUVCompareStatic* :** compare two yuv files for PSNR
- ***FixedQPEncoderStatic*:** binary search algorithm for rate control (there is no rate control algorithms in JSVM)
- ***H264AVCVideoIoLibStatic*:** library for read and write NAL units ← useful for your term projects

Encoding a Two Layer CGS Stream (1/5)

- **Prepare the configure files**
  - **One main configuration file: main.cfg**
  - **One layer configuration file for each layer: layer0.cfg and layer1.cfg**
- **Download the YUV files**
  - wget http://nsl.cs.sfu.ca/video/library/YUV/4CIF/CREW_704x576_30_orig_01.yuv
  - wget http://nsl.cs.sfu.ca/video/library/YUV/CIF/CREW_352x288_30_orig_01.yuv

Encoding a Two Layer CGS Stream (2/5)

- **main.cfg**

```
# JSVM Main Configuration File

OutputFile                  CS5263.264   # Bitstream file
FrameRate                   30.0         # Maximum frame rate [Hz]
FramesToBeEncoded           150          # Number of frames (at input frame
rate)
GOPSize                     16           # GOP Size (at maximum frame rate)
BaseLayerMode               2            # Base layer mode (0,1: AVC
compatible,
                                         #                  2: AVC w
subseq SEI)
SearchMode                  4            # Search mode (0:BlockSearch,
4:FastSearch)
SearchRange                 32           # Search range (Full Pel)
NumLayers                   2            # Number of layers
LayerCfg                    layer0.cfg # Layer configuration file
LayerCfg                    layer1.cfg # Layer configuration file
```

Encoding a Two Layer CGS Stream (3/5)

- **layer0.cfg**

```
# JSVM Layer Configuration File

InputFile            CREW_352x288_30_orig_01.yuv # Input  file
SourceWidth          352             # Input  frame width
SourceHeight         288             # Input  frame height
FrameRateIn          30              # Input  frame rate [Hz]
FrameRateOut         30              # Output frame rate [Hz]
```

Encoding a Two Layer CGS Stream (4/5)

- **Encode the video**
  - **../bin/H264AVCEncoderLibTestStatic -pf main.cfg -lqp 0 30 -lqp 1 32**
- **Decode at the full quality**
  - **../bin/H264AVCDecoderLibTestStatic CS5263.264 full.yuv**
- **Playout the reconstructed yuv file**
  - **mplayer -demuxer rawvideo -rawvideo fps=10:w=704:h=576:format=i420 -loop 0 full.yuv**

Encoding a Two Layer CGS Stream (5/5)

- **Extract a lower resolution stream**
  - **../bin/BitStreamExtractorStatic CS5263.264 CS5263_LoFi.264 -l 0**
- **Decode the video**
  - **../bin/H264AVCDecoderLibTestStatic CS5263_LoFi.264 LoFi.yuv**
- **Play the low resolution reconstructed video**
  - **mplayer -demuxer rawvideo -rawvideo fps=10:w=352:h=288:format=i420 -loop 0 LoFi.yuv**

Compute PSNR

- **../bin/PSNRStatic 704 576 CREW_704x576_30_orig_01.yuv full.yuv**

```
0       38,9117       43,1924       43,8809
1       34,3800       39,0224       39,2633
2       37,0061       42,5263       42,8077
3       36,7575       42,3130       42,4146
.....................................................
147     33,8073       39,1530       39,1838
148     35,4138       39,7400       39,5863
149     34,3667       39,4859       39,0969

total   35,1929       40,6697       40,6936
```

Compile and Use OpenSVC

- Download the source code from Sourceforge
  - http://sourceforge.net/projects/opensvcdecoder/
- unzip the source code
- cd to Mplayer/ folder
- Configure:
  - `CPPFLAGS="-I/opt/local/include/" LDFLAGS="-L/opt/local/lib" CC=gcc-4.2 ./configure --enable-svc`
- make
- Decode the 4CIF version
  - `./mplayer -fps 30 -loop 0 ../../jsvm/CS5262/CS5262.264`
- Decode the CIF version
  - `./mplayer -fps 30 -setlayer 0 -loop 0 ../../jsvm/CS5262/CS5262.264`
- OpenSVC supports switching among layers, but it doesn't work on our 264 file, why? How can we fix it? ← homework assignment?